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GROUP STRATEGY

• Pivots on mature integrated optics technologies developed by the telecom industry.

• Quantum enhancements are being explored in several other platforms but photonics is closest to field deployment.

  • Cold Atoms
  • Superconducting ‘Qubits’
  • Trapped Ions

Cryogenic temperatures. Bulky, immobile systems Not yet miniaturized.
Entangled photons, generated from a room-temperature battery-powered microchip.

Unique attributes vs. conventional laser light:
- Emitted as time-synchronized photon pairs
- Photon properties are entangled (e.g. the state of one depends on the state of the other).
- Exhibits quadrature squeezing which can be used for noise suppression.
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EXAMPLE OF AN ENTANGLED STATE

• Polarization entangled photons:
  \[ |\Phi^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle \pm |V\rangle|V\rangle), \quad |\Psi^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|V\rangle \pm |V\rangle|H\rangle), \]

• Generation:
  o Spontaneous Parametric Down-conversion (SPDC)
  o Spontaneous Four-Wave Mixing
  o Usually requires interferometers or birefringence compensation

• Key goal: indistinguishability
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EXAMPLE OF AN ENTANGLED STATE

- Polarization entangled photons:
  \[
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  - Usually requires interferometers and birefringence compensation

Martin et al, NJP 12 103005 (2010)
OTHER APPROACHES

• Optical Fibers
  o Integration possibilities
  o Circular symmetry
  o Mostly uses SFWM

• Si Photonics
  o Most exciting field recently
  o Uses SFWM; challenges in filtering the pump
  o Integration possibilities
  o Power handling capabilities and nonlinear impairments

• Other materials such as AlN
  o Very promising results but passive

• Compound Semiconductors
COMPOUND SEMICONDUCTORS AS A QO PLATFORM

• Large nonlinear coefficients
  o Efficient interactions, compact devices

• Mature fabrication technology
  o Advanced functional components, high Q cavity, couplers, splitters etc..

• Control over dispersion
  o Tuning tool to engineer the properties of the generated pairs

• Control over birefringence
  o Opportunities to collocate TE/Tm modes for entanglement

• Integration of pump sources
  o Electrically injected room temperature circuits for quantum optical test beds

• Challenges
  o Losses, Input and output coupling, Nonlinear impairments
BRAKG REFLECTION WAVEGUIDES

• Modal phase matching of optical nonlinearity
• Dispersion controls for state tailoring
• AlGaAs material system (integration with pump)
BRAGG REFLECTION WAVEGUIDES

- Closed-form dispersion 1D
- For micron-size waveguide widths the vertical design dictates waveguide dispersion, birefringence and phase matching

To first order in the perturbation,

\[
- \frac{\Delta k_{\text{co}} t_{\text{co}}}{2k_{\text{co}}} \approx - \frac{i}{k_1} \left( \frac{\Delta(e^{iK\lambda}) - \Delta A + \Delta B}{e^{iK\lambda} - A - B} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{k_{\text{co}} + \Delta k_{\text{co}} \cot \left( \frac{(k_{\text{co}} + \Delta k_{\text{co}})t_{\text{co}}}{2} \right)} = - \frac{i}{k_1 + \Delta k_1} \left( \frac{[e^{iK\lambda} + \Delta(e^{iK\lambda})] - [A + \Delta A] + [B + \Delta B]}{[e^{iK\lambda} + \Delta(e^{iK\lambda})] - [A + \Delta A] - [B + \Delta B]} \right)
\]
BRAGG REFLECTION WAVEGUIDES - ACTIVE/PASSIVE
TYPES OF NONLINEAR INTERACTIONS – TYPE 0

- Type-0 TMω → TM2ω second-harmonic generation:
  - For TM propagating mode:
    - field components in laboratory frame (x’y’z’) are (Hx’,Ey’,Ez’)
    - Ey’ is a small field component
    - nonzero Ey’ initiates TMω → TM2ω interaction

\[
\chi^{(2)}_{x'x'z'} = \chi^{(2)}_{x'z'x'} = \chi^{(2)}_{z'x'x'} = +\chi^{(2)}_{xyz}
\]
\[
\chi^{(2)}_{y'y'z'} = \chi^{(2)}_{y'z'y'} = \chi^{(2)}_{z'y'y'} = -\chi^{(2)}_{xyz}
\]
\[
\left[P_{2\omega}^{(2)}\right]_{x'} = 0
\]
\[
\left[P_{2\omega}^{(2)}\right]_{y'} = -\varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}_{xyz} E_{y'} E_z
\]
\[
\left[P_{2\omega}^{(2)}\right]_{z'} = -\varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}_{xyz} E_{y'} E_{y'}/2
\]

small Ey'; TM2ω should be weak

**TYPE-0 SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION**

- **type-0 \( \text{TM}_\omega \rightarrow \text{TM}_{2\omega} \) second-harmonic generation:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>phase-matching scheme</th>
<th>( P_{2\omega} ) [( \mu \text{W} )]</th>
<th>( \lambda_{PM} ) [nm]</th>
<th>efficiency [%W(^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TE}<em>{\omega} \rightarrow \text{TM}</em>{2\omega} )</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>( 5.3 \times 10^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TE}<em>{\omega} + \text{TM}</em>{\omega} \rightarrow \text{TE}_{2\omega} )</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1555</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TM}<em>{\omega} \rightarrow \text{TM}</em>{2\omega} )</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>( 2.8 \times 10^3 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagrams illustrate the relationship between the ridge width, birefringence, and effective mode index with respect to wavelength and SH power with respect to angle.

---
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BRW – CHIP BASED POLARIZATION-ENTANGLED PHOTONS

• Second harmonic generation

Definitions:
Type-I:
\( \text{TE}(\omega) + \text{TE}(\omega) \rightarrow \text{TM}(2\omega) \)

Type-II:
\( \text{TE}(\omega) + \text{TM}(\omega) \rightarrow \text{TE}(2\omega) \)

Type-0:
\( \text{TM}(\omega) + \text{TM}(\omega) \rightarrow \text{TM}(2\omega) \)

EXAMPLE OF AN ENTANGLED STATE

• Polarization entangled photons:

\[ |\Phi^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|H\rangle \pm |V\rangle|V\rangle), \quad |\Psi^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|V\rangle \pm |V\rangle|H\rangle), \]

• Generation:
  o Spontaneous Parametric Down-conversion (SPDC)
  o Spontaneous Four-Wave Mixing
  o Usually requires interferometers or birefringence compensation

• Key goal: indistinguishability
BRW – CHIP BASED POLARIZATION-ENTANGLED PHOTONS

- Non-degenerate type-II process

Tuning curve:

![Tuning curve graph]

Spectra intensity:

![Spectra intensity graph]

Generated state:

\[ |\Psi\rangle = \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 [A_{HV}(\omega_1, \omega_2) |\omega_1, H\rangle_s |\omega_2, V\rangle_i + A_{VH}(\omega_1, \omega_2) |\omega_2, V\rangle_s |\omega_1, H\rangle_i], \]

Not identical!
BRW – CHIP BASED POLARIZATION-ENTANGLED PHOTONS
FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM
FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM

• Type-II measurements
  o Concurrence: 0.55
  o Fidelity 0.74 to a maximally entangled state

• Type-0/type-I measurements
  o Concurrence: 0.85
  o Fidelity 0.89 to a maximally entangled state
BROADLY TUNABLE ENTANGLED SOURCES

- Type-II Spontaneous Parametric Down Conversion in BRWs

\[ |\psi_n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int d\omega_s d\omega_i [\Phi_{HV}(\omega_s, \omega_i) a_H^{\dagger}(\omega_i) a_V^{\dagger}(\omega_i) \\
+ \Phi_{VH}(\omega_s, \omega_i) a_V^{\dagger}(\omega_s) a_H^{\dagger}(\omega_i)] |\text{vac}\rangle, \]

- Spectra of photon pairs

\[ 95 \text{ nm} \]
BROADLY TUNABLE ENTANGLED SOURCES

- Peak concurrence: $0.98 \pm 0.01$
- Concurrence at least $0.96 \pm 0.02$ in 40 nm
- Concurrence at least $0.77 \pm 0.09$ in 95 nm

Concurrence vs. signal-idler wavelength (nm)
FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM – IR TUNING
FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM – IR TUNING

![Graph showing the relationship between pump wavelength (nm) and signal/idler wavelength (nm). The graph plots data points for signal and idler wavelengths as the pump wavelength changes.]
FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM – IR TUNING

\[ \lambda_i = 7.68 \ \mu \text{m} \]
\[ \lambda_i = 7.37 \ \mu \text{m} \]

Measurement:
- Peak (1)
- Peak (2)

Simulation:
- Ridge mode
- Slab mode
FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM – FLUX VS HERALDING

• Flux available from single element sources can be \( \sim 1 \times 10^8 \). This can be scaled up in an integrated setting with ease.

• The level of pumping be it high or low pumping regimes play a role in defining the \( G(2) \) and Flux relation.

• Definition
  - \( g^{(2)} = \frac{\langle N_s N_i \rangle}{\langle N_s \rangle \langle N_i \rangle} \) where \( N_s \) and \( N_i \) are the photon number operator on the signal and idler mode.
### FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM – DISPERSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Type-I</th>
<th>Type-II</th>
<th>Type-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phase-matching scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ridge width</td>
<td>677 nm</td>
<td>677 nm</td>
<td>375 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group velocity dispersion</td>
<td>$-7.6\times10^{-4}$ ps$^2$/m</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group velocity mismatch</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$-0.34$ ns/m</td>
<td>$-2.92$ ns/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pump wavelength</td>
<td>677 nm</td>
<td>706 nm</td>
<td>944 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPDC bandwidth</td>
<td>61 THz</td>
<td>869 GHz</td>
<td>101 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(456\ nm)$</td>
<td>$(5.7\ nm)$</td>
<td>$(1.2\ nm)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal correlation</td>
<td>15 fs</td>
<td>1.2 ps</td>
<td>10 ps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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QUANTUM INSPIRED TARGET DETECTION

• Generate **entangled twin-photons**: one gives a reference, the other is sent towards object.

• Allows you to better separate the useful image from unwanted noise in the collected light.

• Entangled pairs will lead to synchronized detections; noise photons will not (core idea)
QUANTUM ENHANCED TARGET DETECTION

• Several advantages over their classical counterparts:
  • Improved SNR for the detection of low-contrast objects
  • High resilience to environmental noise
  • High resilience to environmental loss
  • Sub-shot-noise performance
  • Operation at low illumination levels

INTENSITY CORRELATION TARGET DETECTION SCHEME

• Definitions:
  o Target detection scheme with intensity correlation

\[ S = N_p N_r - \langle N_p \rangle \langle N_r \rangle \]

Where \( S \) is the signal, \( N_p \) and \( N_r \) are photon number operator of the probe and reference mode.

  o When the target object is absent, the intensity correlation \( S \) must be zero in average, since environmental noise photon could not be correlated with the reference photon. The presence of the target is asserted if the measured correlation \( S \) is large than a certain threshold.

• Figure of Merit:
  o Since the intensity correlation based target detection scheme is a threshold detection of intensity correlation signal \( S \), then it is nature to define the figure of merit as the (normalized) fluctuation of the correlation signal \( S \) (called the visibility in the MS):

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\langle S_{\text{in}} \rangle - \langle S_{\text{out}} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \delta^2 S_{\text{in}} \rangle + \langle \delta^2 S_{\text{out}} \rangle}} \]

  o The subscript in and out denote the presence and the absence of the target.
INTENSITY CORRELATION TARGET DETECTION SCHEME

• Intensity correlation based scheme with SPDC source (ICQ):
  - Probe-reference photon pair generated through SPDC source are highly correlated because they are always generated in pairs.

• Intensity correlation based scheme with correlated thermal state source (ICC):
  - Two correlated thermal state split from a single thermal state beam are classically correlated and is optimal for classical Intensity correlation target detection scheme [Lopaeva et al PRL].
The H-polarized photon in each photon pair produced by type-II SPDC is used as a reference beam; the V-polarized photon is used as a probe beam. HWP: half-wave plate; PBS: polarizing beam splitter; BS: beam splitter; BRW: Bragg reflection waveguide; LP: long-pass; BP: band-pass; SMF: single-mode fiber; SPAD: single-photon avalanche diode; TDC: time-to-digital converter
VISIBILITY AND POWER
VISIBILITY AND POWER WITH ADDITIONAL LOSS
VISIBILITY RATIO AND POWER

![Graph showing photon pair per pulse $\mu$ against $\varepsilon_{CO}/\varepsilon_{IC}$ (dB)].

- 18.57 dB
- 17.79 dB, with additional noise and loss

atto uottawa.ca
VISIBILITY AND LOSSES
VISIBILITY AND LOSSES WITH ADDED NOISE
VISIBILITY AND NOISE
INTENSITY CORRELATION TARGET DETECTION SCHEME

• Performance comparison:
  o The ICQ scheme with photon pair source have significant advantage over the ICC scheme with correlated thermal source, in the low flux regime. The performance advantages persist even if there are high level of loss and noise.

18.57 dB quantum advantage over best theoretical CI scheme with no additional noise and loss
Background/signal = 7.66 dB

29.69 dB loss

17.79 dB quantum advantage
(Noise + background)/signal = 13.40 dB

13.40 dB noise
NON CLASSICAL SOURCE REQUIREMENTS

• What does quantum enhanced target detection tell us about the performance metrics from integrated sources

• High Flux
  • Power handling capabilities of integrated sources

• Compact sources or ones that can be efficiently connected to optical fibers
  • Non degenerate or Ghost imaging

• High Heralding Efficiency
  • Usually tenable at low flux

• Highly tunable and non-denigratate sources
  • Ghost imaging
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FURTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE PLATFORM – CIRCUITS
SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

• Provided an over view of the capabilities of this platform for quantum photonics circuits
  o The wealth of functional integration, scalability and efficiency are the highlights of the platform, but losses and in/out coupling remain a challenge.

• Demonstrated the performance of these sources in target detection applications
  o Enabled by long range loss behaviour